1		
1	DANIEL G. BOGDEN United States Attorney KATHRYN C. NEWMAN	
2	Assistant United States Attorney	
3	Nevada Bar No. 13733 501 Las Vegas Boulevard South, Suite 11000	
4	Las Vegas, Nevada 89101 Phone: (702) 388-6336	
5	Fax: (702) 388-5087 <u>kathryn.newman@usdoj.gov</u>	
6	Counsel for Plaintiff United States	
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	DISTRICT OF NEVADA	
10	-oOo-	
11	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)	
12	Plaintiff, 2:16-CR-00240-GMN-CWH	
13) 2:16-CR-00317-APG-NJK vs.	
14) JOINT MOTION TO CONSOLIDATE	
15	FREDI ARMANDO ARRAZOLA-ACOSTA,	
16	Defendant.	
17		
18	The United States, by Daniel G. Bogden, United States Attorney, and Kathryn C. Newman,	,
19	Assistant United States Attorney, and Brian Pugh, counsel for defendant Fredi Armando Arrazola-	
	Acosta, jointly move the Court to consolidate the above-captioned cases under a single case	
20	number, as set forth below:	
21	1. On or about March 2, 2015, the defendant was judged guilty and sentenced in the	
22	United States District Court for the District of Arizona. United States v. Arrazola-Acosta, CR-14-	
23	01599-001-PHX-SPL. The defendant was charged with Re-entry of Removed Alien in violation	
24	of Title 8, United States Code, Section 1326. The defendant was sentenced to nine months in	
25	custody and three years of supervised release. One of the conditions of supervised release was that	
26	if he was deported, he was not to reenter the United States without legal authorization.	

- Court following his indictment by the grand jury on charges of Deported Alien Found Unlawfully in the United States, 8 U.S.C. § 1326. United States v. Arrazola-Acosta, 2:16-cr-240-GMN-CWH. Based on his presence in the United States and the absence of any evidence of legal
- permission to reenter, the U.S. Office of Probation filed a petition for revocation of his supervised release in the Arizona case. At the parties' request, jurisdiction for the supervised release violation was transferred to the District of Nevada. United States v. Arrazola-Acosta, 2:16-cr-317-APG-NJK. The defendant made his initial appearance on the petition for supervised release
- 3. The parties negotiated a plea agreement, which seeks to resolve both the new charge and the alleged violations of conditions of supervised release as part of a "global resolution." The parties have submitted the plea agreement simultaneously with this joint motion.
- 4. Because the plea agreement includes a proposed resolution to both the new charge and the alleged violations of conditions of supervised release, and both arise out of the same conduct, the parties respectfully request that the Court enter an Order consolidating these two cases.

DATED this 20th day of December, 2016.

Respectfully submitted, DANIEL G. BOGDEN United States Attorney

/s/ Kathryn Newman

KATHRYN C. NEWMAN Assistant United States Attorney

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that 2:16-cr-317 shall be reassigned to Chief Judge Navarro.

Andrew P. Gordon, Judge **United States District Court**

Dated this 21 day of December, 2016.